

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/750,806 | 01/02/2004 | William H. Bridge JR. | 50277-2358 | 1803 |
| 29989 HICKMAN PA | 7590 09/21/200 LERMO TRUONG & | | EXAM | INER |
| 2055 GATEWA | | BECKEN, BEI | FLEURANTIN, JEAN B | |
| SUITE 550 SAN JOSE, CA | A 95110 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 2162 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/21/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | (|
|---|--|--|----------|
| | 10/750,806 | BRIDGE ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | JEAN B. FLEURANTIN | 2162 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | n appears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence address | •• |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH statute, cause the application to become ABAN | ATION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communic IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on g | <u>05 July 2007</u> . | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ | This action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for all | owance except for formal matter | s, prosecution as to the merit | ts is |
| closed in accordance with the practice und | der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. | 11, 453 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a | ndrawn from consideration. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of t | accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance orrection is required if the drawing(s) | e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 | • • |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a | ments have been received. ments have been received in App priority documents have been re ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | olication No eceived in this National Stage | . |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🔲 Interview Sur | nmary (PTO-413) | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 8) Paper No(s)/ | Mail Date | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Info 6) Other: | rmal Patent Application | |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This is in response to applicant's amendment filed on 07/05/2007.

The following is the status of claims:

Claims 19 and 20 have been added. The Examiner discusses the newly added limitations of claims 19 and 20 in the following rejection.

Claims 1-20 remain pending for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-8, 10-17, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: "determining a required recovery time, wherein the required recovery time indicates a maximum length of time that is to be allowed for recovering after said database system failure".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-9 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

As set forth in MPEP 2106:

As per independent claims 1 and 9

The independent claims 1 and 9 are directed to a method, in which a plurality of records have to be processed after the failure. Therefore, the mechanism for controlling the amount of recovery downtime after a database system failure as the purpose of the invention. The claimed subject matter lacks a practical application of a judicial exception (law of nature, abstract idea, naturally occurring article/phenomenon) since it fails to produce a useful and tangible result.

The dependent claims are rejected under the same rational.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 6-12 and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over "background, specification page 1, paragraph [0004] to page 9, paragraph [0028]" - (Applicant Admitted Prior Art) ("APA") in view of U.S., Patent 5,485,608 issued to Lomet et al., ("Lomet"), and further in view of U.S., Patent 6,185,699 issued to Haderle et al., ("Haderle").

Application/Control Number:

10/750,806

Art Unit: 2162

As per claim 1, APA discloses a method comprising the steps of:

"storing a checkpoint value" (see Fig. 1, item 158) "that indicates which records of a plurality of records have to be processed after the failure" (i.e., data recoverable is to write redo records into a redo log file in nonvolatile memory, in which the redo records containing a description of the changes that were made by a particular transaction, enabling a recovery process to reapply the changes in the event of a failure; see page 3, paragraph [0010]), "wherein the plurality of records indicate changes for a plurality of data blocks" (i.e., data items or data blocks; see page 4, paragraph [0013] and Fig. 1, item 128); and

"writing changes from volatile memory to nonvolatile memory to advance the checkpoint value" (i.e., checkpoint process then marks as needing checkpointing all buffers in buffer cache that contain changes since being loaded from database; see page 7, paragraph [0023] and Fig. 1).

APA fails to explicitly disclose <u>reducing</u> the <u>recovery time</u> after a failure. However, Lomet discloses <u>reducing</u> the <u>recovery time</u> after a failure (see Lomet col. 13, lines 59-61). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of APA by <u>reducing</u> the <u>recovery time</u> after a failure as disclosed by Lomet (see Lomet col. 20, lines 32-44 and col. 22, lines 31-36). Such a modification would allow the method of APA to provide efficient recovery using techniques of state identifiers which promote easy system crash recovery as well as media failure recovery (see Lomet col. 2, lines 46-50), therefore, improving, the accuracy and the reliability of the method and system for controlling recovery downtime.

While the combination of APA/Lomet substantially discloses the claimed invention, the combination fails to disclose in detail and based on a user-specified value that corresponds to how much work will be required during a redo phase of recovery. However, Haderle discloses on a user-specified value that corresponds to how much work will be required during a redo phase of recovery (see Haderle col. 2, lines 29-43 and col. 6, lines 19-55). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of APA/Lomet by how much word will be required as disclosed by Haderle (see Haderle col. 6, lines 19-55). Such a modification would allow the

method of APA/Lomet to provide a method and processing system availability without loss of data integrity (see Haderle col. 1, lines 9-12).

As per claim 2, APA further discloses "maintaining, in volatile memory, one or more sorted buffer queues" (i.e., buffer cache (102) containing one or more sorted buffers queues (104, 106, 108 and 110); page 4, paragraph [0013]), "wherein each sorted buffer queue includes queue entries that are inserted into said sorted buffer queue based on an index value associated with said queue entry" (i.e., buffers queues (104, 106, 108 and 110) containing data loading into (inserting into) volatile memory from data items (142, 134, 130 and 138), which are respectively data blocks (A), (B), (C) and (D) (index value); see page 4, paragraph [0013]), "wherein each queue entry reflects a change to a data block of the plurality of data blocks" (i.e., data items (142, 134, 130 and 138) in the database reflecting changes that have been recorded; see page 4, paragraphs [0014 and 1015]).

As per claim 3, APA further discloses "wherein the one or more sorted buffer queues are one or more circular sorted buffer queues" (i.e., buffer cache (102) containing one or more sorted buffers queues (104, 106, 108 and 110); page 4, paragraph [0013]), and "wherein a modulus operation is used to identify the index value associated with each circular sorted buffer queue entry when inserting a queue entry into the circular sorted buffer queue" (i.e., buffers queues (104, 106, 108 and 110) containing data loading into (inserting into) volatile memory from data items (142, 134, 130 and 138), which are respectively data blocks (A), (B), (C) and (D) (index value); see page 4, paragraph [0013]).

As per claim 6, APA discloses "updating the checkpoint value comprises: updating the checkpoint value to equal a byte offset in a redo log associated with the queue entry in the one or more sorted buffer queues that is associated with the least recently modified buffer in any queue entry in the one or more sorted buffer queues" (i.e., byte offset from the binning of the log file, where all redo records that stored in the log file before the location identified by the checkpoint value are guaranteed to be reflected in the database; see page 7, paragraph [0022]).

Application/Control Number: 10/750,806

Art Unit: 2162

As per claim 7, in addition to claim 10, APA further discloses "maintaining, in volatile memory, one or more sorted buffer queues" (i.e., buffer cache (102) containing one or more sorted buffers queues (104, 106, 108 and 110); page 4, paragraph [0013]), "wherein each partially sorted buffer queue includes queue entries that are inserted into said partially sorted buffer queue based on an index value associated with said queue entry" (i.e., buffers queues (104, 106, 108 and 110) containing data loading into (inserting into) volatile memory from data items (142, 134, 130 and 138), which are respectively data blocks (A), (B), (C) and (D) (index value); see page 4, paragraph [0013]), "wherein each queue entry reflects a change to a data block of the plurality of data blocks" (i.e., data items (142, 134, 130 and 138) in the database reflecting changes that have been recorded; see page 4, paragraphs [0014 and 1015]).

As per claim 8, in addition to claim 1, APA discloses "a byte offset to an identified redo log file" (i.e., byte offset which representing (identifying) redo record; see page 7, paragraph [0023]).

As per claim 9, in addition to claim 1, APA fails to explicitly wherein the required recovery time indicates a maximum length of time that is to be allowed for recovering after said database system failure. However, Lomet discloses wherein the required recovery time indicates a maximum length of time that is to be allowed for recovering after said database system failure (see Lomet col. 13, lines 59-61). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of APA by APA further discloses wherein the required recovery time indicates a maximum length of time that is to be allowed for recovering after said database system failure as disclosed by Lomet (see Lomet col. 14, lines 62-67). Such a modification would allow the method of APA to provide efficient recovery using techniques of state identifiers which promote easy system crash recovery as well as media failure recovery (see Lomet col. 2, lines 46-50), therefore, improving, the accuracy and the reliability of the method and system for controlling recovery downtime.

Application/Control Number:

10/750,806

Art Unit: 2162

As per claim 10, in addition to claim 1, APA further discloses "a computer-readable storage

medium carrying one or more sequences of instructions, wherein execution of the one or more

sequences of instructions by one or more processors causes the one or more processors causes" (i.e.,

one or more processes (sequences instructions) executing on a database server; see page 2, paragraph

[0007]) to perform the steps of "maintaining a checkpoint value that indicates which records of a plurality

of records have to be processed after the failure, wherein the plurality of records indicate changes for a

plurality of data blocks" (i.e., data recoverable is to write redo records into a redo log file in nonvolatile

memory, since the redo records containing a description of the changes that were made by a particular

transaction; see page 3, paragraph [0010]).

As per claim 11, in addition to claim 2, APA further discloses "execution of the one or more

sequences of instructions by one or more processors causes the one or more processors to further

perform" (i.e., processes executing on a database server; see paragraph [0007]).

As per claim 12, the limitations of claim 12 are similar to claim 3, therefore, the limitations of claim

12 are rejected in the analysis of claim 3, this claim is rejected on that basis.

As per claim 15, APA discloses "updating the checkpoint value comprises: updating the

checkpoint value to equal a byte offset in a redo log associated with the queue entry in the one or more

sorted buffer queues that is associated with the least recently modified buffer in any queue entry in the

one or more sorted buffer queues" (i.e., byte offset from the binning of the log file, where all redo records

that stored in the log file before the location identified by the checkpoint value are guaranteed to be

reflected in the database; see page 7, paragraph [0022]).

Application/Control Number:

10/750,806

Art Unit: 2162

As per claim 16, in addition to claim 7, APA further discloses "execution of the one or more sequences of instructions by one or more processors causes the one or more processors to further perform" (i.e., processes executing on a database server; see paragraph [0007]).

As per claim 17, the limitations of claim 17 are similar to claim 8, therefore, the limitations of claim 17 are rejected in the analysis of claim 8, this claim is rejected on that basis.

As per claim 18, in addition to claim 9, APA further discloses "a computer-readable storage medium carrying one or more sequences of instructions, wherein execution of the one or more sequences of instructions by one or more processors causes the one or more processors causes" (i.e., one or more processes (sequences instructions) executing on a database server; see page 2, paragraph [0007]) to perform the steps of "maintaining a checkpoint value that indicates which records of a plurality of records have to be processed after the failure, wherein the plurality of records indicate changes for a plurality of data blocks" (i.e., data recoverable is to write redo records into a redo log file in nonvolatile memory, since the redo records containing a description of the changes that were made by a particular transaction; see page 3, paragraph [0010]).

As per claims 19 and 20, the limitations of claims 19 and 20 are similar to claims 1 and 18, therefore, the limitations of claims 19 and 20 are rejected in the analysis of claims 1 and 18, these claims are rejected on that basis.

Claims 4 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant' background, specification page 1, paragraph [0004] to page 9, paragraph [0028], (Applicant Admitted Prior Art) ("APA") in view of U.S., Patent 5,485,608 issued to Lomet et al., ("Lomet"), and further in view of U.S., Patent 6,185,699 issued to Haderle et al., ("Haderle") as applied to claims 1-3, 6-12 and 15-20 above, and further in view of "ARIES-RRH: restricted repeating of history in the ARIES transaction recovery method" issued to Mohan et al., ("Mohan").

10/750,806 Art Unit: 2162

As per claims 4 and 13, in addition to claim 1, "execution of the one or more sequences of instructions by one or more processors causes the one or more processors to further perform" (i.e., processes executing on a database server; see paragraph [0007]). APA fails to explicitly disclose maintaining a count of the queue entries in each of the one or more sorted buffer. However, Mohan discloses a method for maintaining a count of the queue entries in each of the one or more sorted buffer (see Mohan page 719, col. 1, paragraph (2), lines 9-16). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of APA by maintaining a count of the queue entries in each of the one or more sorted buffer as disclosed by Mohan (see Mohan page 719, col. 2, last paragraph). Such a modification would allow the method of APA to provide reducing the number of I/Os to database pages during the redo pass by avoiding reading into the buffer pool those pages, in the dirty data list, for which log records written by only loser transactions are encountered (see Mohan page 721, col. 2, last paragraph), therefore, improving the accuracy of the method and system for controlling recovery downtime.

Claim Objections / Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5 and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, 07/05/2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-20 under 35 USC 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of U.S., Patent 6,185,699 issued to Haderlen et al.

Page 10

CONTACT INFORMATION

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to JEAN B. FLEURANTIN whose telephone number is 571 - 272-4035. The examiner can

normally be reached on 7:05 to 4:35.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

JOHN E BREENE can be reached on 571 – 272-4107. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jean Bolte Fleurantin

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2100